No. 06-2144

CTATES COINT OF 1 --- 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS ' FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

SEP 1 1 2006

LEONARD GREEN, Clerk

OPERATION KING'S DREAM, et al.,)
Plaintiffs-Appellants,)
v.) ORDER
WARD CONNERLY, et al.,)
Defendants-Appellees.)
	J

Before: NORRIS, COLE, and COOK, Circuit Judges.

The plaintiffs appeal the dismissal of their action under the Voting Rights Act seeking to enjoin the placement of an anti-affirmative action initiative on the ballot in the State of Michigan for the November 2006 general election. The plaintiffs now seek an order enjoining the placement of the initiative on the ballot for the November election until it has been determined whether the Voting Rights Act was violated in obtaining the signatures in support of the petition to place the initiative on the ballot. The defendants oppose the motion for an injunction.

To obtain an injunction pending appeal, the plaintiffs must demonstrate a likelihood of success on the merits, irreparable harm, lack of harm to others, and that the public interest supports the issuance of injunctive relief. See Overstreet v. Lexington-Fayette Urban County Gov't, 305 F.3d 566, 572-73 (6th Cir. 2002); Michigan Coalition of Radioactive Material Users, Inc. v. Griepentrog, 945 F.2d 150 (6th Cir. 1991). The plaintiffs have failed to demonstrate sufficient likelihood of success on the merits of their claims of violations of the Voting Rights Act to support the issuance of an injunction pending appeal.

No. 06-2144

Therefore, the motion for an injunction pending appeal is DENIED.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

Clerk